

<https://creationismeweersproken.blogspot.com/2023/01/de-rode-panda-en-cserhati-4-twee.html>

THE RED PANDA AND CSERHATI (4): TWO PAPERS

On November 5, 2022, Jan van Meerten wrote a web post on his website Oorsprong with the title: "**Scientist solves (creationist) biosystematic riddle of the red panda (*Ailurus fulgens*)**". In his web post, Jan van Meerten referred to two articles, both by M. Cserhati.

One of the articles has been published in the scientific literature, in April 2021:

Cserhati, M., 2021, A tail of two pandas – whole genome k-mer signature analysis of the red panda (*Ailurus fulgens*) and the Giant panda (*Ailuropoda melanoleuca*), BMC Genomics 22: 228
<https://bmcbgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-021-07531-3>

The other article is in a creationist journal, in Fall 2021:

Cserhati, M., 2021, Classification of the Enigmatic Red Panda (*Ailurus fulgens*) Based on Molecular Baraminology-Based Analysis, Creation Research Society Quarterly 58 (2): 76-84
<https://www.creationresearch.org/classification-of-the-enigmatic-red-panda-ailurus-fulgens-based-on-molecular-baraminology-based-analysis>

These two articles both have a part that uses a WGKS method and a part that uses mtDNA for use in red panda classification. These parts are very similar between the articles.

The BMC genomics article is authored by Matyas Cserhati, the CSRQ article by Matthew Cserhati: a translation from Hungarian Mátyás to English. According to LinkedIn, Matthew/Matyas Cserhati holds a PhD in Bioinformatics from the University of Szeged in Hungary and was a full-time speaker for Creation Ministries International from February 2019 to May 2020.

Creation Ministries International details his involvement in creationism: <https://creation.com/matthew-cserhati> and <https://creation.com/dr-matthew-cserhati-cv> citing quite a number of creationist articles by his hand.

The BMC Genomics article only lists a home address and email address, no work address. There is nothing in this article about funding: who paid for the work?

The CSRQ artikel gives no address at all, but acknowledges the funding:

“Acknowledgements

This paper is a part of Creation Research Society Grant #62 and part of the analysis was performed on the new CRS server based at Arizona Christian University”

It might be presumed this was also the funding for the BMC Genomics article.

Jan van Meerten (website 'Oorsprong') thinks so too:

*“As far as I'm concerned, this is **the** way to do creation research. First, obtain a fund to do a detailed study within the creation paradigm. Then publish the results in a standard naturalistic-scientific journal. Then further develop the results in a creation science journal.”*